

ARTICLE XX
PROMOTIONS FOR CLINICAL FACULTY

Promotion decisions shall be based on established University criteria as interpreted and clarified by each department/unit in guidelines adopted pursuant to Article 9 for the application of those criteria in terms appropriate or specific to the department's discipline(s).¹ Promotion decisions shall reflect assessments that are not merely a totaling of a faculty member's annual performance evaluations but an assessment of the faculty member's performance since his/her last promotion or since his/her hiring (if there is no previous promotion). The rating of Meets Expectations on an annual performance evaluation is not necessarily reflective of successful progress toward promotion. The promotion decision shall reflect a demonstration of the faculty member's potential for growth, teaching, and service contributions.

22.1 Rank Titles

- (a) Titles for the three levels of Clinical Faculty shall be Clinical Assistant Professor, Clinical Associate Professor, and Clinical Professor.

22.2 Promotion Eligibility

- (a) Promotion shall be through the faculty member's department/unit, and faculty members shall carry their rank with them if they change departments. Eligible employees are those classified as Clinical Faculty, whose position has been one of continued employment, and who have not been given notice of non-reappointment or termination.
- (b) To be eligible for promotion, a faculty member must have completed the following minimum number of years of full-time academic service in rank.
 - (1) Clinical Assistant Professor to Clinical Associate Professor – five (5) years of full-time service at the Clinical Assistant Professor level at UNF.
 - (2) Clinical Associate Professor to Clinical Professor – five (5) years of full-time service at the Clinical Associate Professor level at UNF.
- (c) Clinical Faculty are not required to seek promotion. There is no penalty for a Clinical Faculty's unsuccessful bid for promotion.
- (d) Service for the Purpose of Promotion Eligibility
 - (1) Full-time service for the purpose of promotion eligibility shall mean employment at 1.0 FTE during at least thirty-nine (39) weeks of any calendar or academic-year contract. Employment for one semester shall constitute one-half year of promotion-earning service.

¹ Section 447.209, Florida Statutes, reserves to the University, as the public employer, the right to unilaterally determine the standards of service to be offered by the University.

OK
JMC
8-29-18

[Handwritten signature]
8-25-18

[Handwritten initials]

MS
8-29-18

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88

OK
MS
8-29-18

- (2) Part-time service of a faculty member employed at least one semester in any twelve (12) month period shall be accumulated. For example, two (2) semesters of half-time service shall be considered one-half year of service toward the period of promotion-earning service.

22.3 University Promotion Criteria for Clinical Faculty

The decision to award promotion to a faculty member shall be a result of his/her meritorious performance and shall be consistent with the University's promotion criteria and the guidelines for application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9. These judgments of academic performance are complex. They cannot easily be reduced to a quantitative formula, nor can the considerations that must be applied in each individual case be completely described in general terms or by numbers alone, separate from necessary qualitative assessments. The promotion decision shall also take into account whether the faculty member has engaged in either a pattern of behavior or a single egregious instance of behavior that disrupts or obstructs the orderly and effective functioning of the department, college, or University. Documentation of such disruptive or obstructive behavior must be made in a timely manner and placed in the faculty member's evaluation file. This section shall not be construed or used to limit the faculty member's right to exercise his/her academic freedom.

- (a) The faculty member's accomplishments elsewhere which are applicable to the UNF promotion criteria shall be considered in addition to his/her performance during his/her service at the University.
- (b) Promotion from Clinical Assistant Professor to Clinical Associate Professor requires a consistent record of excellence in performing assigned duties, and evidence of professional and intellectual accomplishments contributing to the University and the profession. The University values both basic and applied forms of scholarship. The University recognizes teaching, research, and service that engages and impacts local, regional, national, and or global communities. Promotion requires that the candidate maintain current certification/licensure and practice, be an excellent teacher, demonstrate excellent clinical competency, maintain an ongoing agenda of scholarship, and demonstrate meaningful contributions in service. Excellence in teaching is evidenced by a record of high quality teaching and/or clinical supervision. High quality teaching is demonstrated by evidence of effectiveness in presenting knowledge and skills, in stimulating students' critical thinking and/or creative abilities, and in the development or revision of curriculum and course structure. Excellent clinical competency may be evidenced by recognition at the state and/or national level as an authority within a practice specialty, which may be based on documented excellence in patient care, student instruction, professional leadership, practice, and/or service. Evidence of excellence may include departmental, student, and

M. P.
8-29-18

89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129

OK
8-29-18

peer evaluations of teaching, teaching awards, examples of successful student learning outcomes, demonstration of leadership and rigor in teaching, contributions to student mentoring and other departmental, college, or university service, pedagogical publications or professional presentations, discipline publications and/or creative activities, classroom and laboratory innovations, and performance in other areas of assigned duties. Publications in peer-reviewed or non-peer reviewed journals or textbooks may also be included, as well as oral presentations at state, regional, national, or international conferences. Continuing meaningful contributions in service are evidenced by a record of active participation in departmental and/or university governance through committees and otherwise, as well as a record of active service to one's professional discipline and the broader public which may occur at the local, state, regional, national, and international levels.

(c) Promotion from Clinical Associate Professor to Clinical Professor requires that the candidate maintain current certification/licensure and practice, be an outstanding teacher, demonstrate outstanding clinical competency, demonstrate an agenda of outstanding scholarship, and demonstrate meaningful contributions in service. Outstanding teaching is evidenced by an overall record of high quality teaching and/or clinical supervision. High quality teaching is demonstrated by evidence of effectiveness in presenting knowledge and skills, in stimulating students' critical thinking and/or creative abilities, and the development or revision of curriculum and course structure. Outstanding clinical competency is evidenced by recognition at the state, regional, or national level as an authority within a practice specialty based on documented excellence in patient care, student instruction, professional leadership, practice, and/or service. Publications in peer reviewed or non-peer reviewed journals or textbooks may also be included, as well as oral presentations at state, regional, national, or international conferences. Continuing meaningful contributions in service are evidenced by a record of active participation in department and University governance through committees and otherwise, as well as a record of active service to one's professional discipline and the broader public which may occur at the local, state, regional, national, and international levels.

(d) Changes in promotion criteria, or in the guidelines for application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, shall not become effective until one (1) year following adoption of the changes unless mutually agreed to in writing by the UFF-UNF President and the University President or designee. The date of adoption shall be the date of ratification.

(e) Faculty members shall be evaluated for promotion under the criteria that exist as of the deadline by which the faculty member is required to notify the

My
8-25-18

130 chair/comparable supervisor that he/she is a candidate for promotion. However, if
131 new or changed University promotion criteria or department/unit guidelines have
132 been adopted within three (3) years preceding the deadline, the faculty member
133 may elect to be evaluated under the promotion criteria that existed prior to such
134 addition or change. The election must be made not later than the deadline by
135 which the faculty member is required to notify the chair/comparable supervisor
136 that he/she is a candidate for promotion.

OK
8-22-18

137
138 **22.4 Initiation of the Promotion Process.** The promotion process begins when the faculty
139 member provides the chair/comparable supervisor written notification of candidacy. A Clinical
140 Assistant Professor or Clinical Associate Professor considering promotion should meet with
141 his/her department chair or unit head to discuss eligibility. Candidates for promotion shall be
142 provided a copy of the University promotion procedures checklist, the guidelines for
143 application of the University promotion criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, and any other
144 necessary materials, information, and forms. The department chair shall advise the candidate
145 in the preparation of the promotion dossier.

146
147 **22.5 Promotion Dossier.**

- 148
- 149 (a) The candidate shall be responsible for ensuring that all pertinent information is
150 included in the promotion dossier and for ensuring that the dossier is complete.
 - 151
 - 152 (b) The only documents that may be considered in making a promotion
153 recommendation are those contained or referenced in the promotion dossier.
 - 154
 - 155 (c) Beginning Fall 2017, candidates for promotion shall submit dossiers (“packet”) in
156 the UNF adopted electronic system. The promotion dossier shall include evidence
157 which supports his/her candidacy. The candidate shall ensure that the following
158 materials are included in the order specified:
 - 159
 - 160 (1) The University’s promotion procedures checklist.
 - 161
 - 162 (2) A copy of the guidelines for application of University promotion criteria
163 adopted pursuant to Article 9.
 - 164
 - 165 (3) Candidate information, including the candidate’s current curriculum vitae.
 - 166
 - 167 (4) A signed statement by the candidate attesting to the accuracy of the
168 information in the dossier.
 - 169
 - 170 (5) A copy of the candidate’s annual assignments for the past five years or since
171 the last promotion.
 - 172
 - 173 (6) A copy of the candidate’s annual evaluations.
 - 174

[Handwritten signature]
8-29-18

175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219

(7) Candidate's statement setting forth the candidate's contributions to department program(s) and how he/she meets the stated criteria.

(8) A summary and discussion by the candidate of significant teaching and curriculum efforts, including but not limited to a summary of evaluations of his/her teaching by students and academic colleagues through University-sanctioned methods such as survey instruments, questionnaires, and in-class visitations, observations, and interviews.

(9) Evidence of Excellence in Teaching. Both the quality and the quantity of the individual's achievements (evidence of outstanding performance in the case of promotion to Clinical Professor) should be presented in the dossier.

[Handwritten note: OK JAN 8-20-18]

- a. A table showing course numbers and titles taught for the past five years, or since the last promotion, including the number of times each course was taught, with average enrollment during the period. Provide a brief narrative that highlights any special aspects, such as on-line, writing intensive, honors, or service-learning.
- b. A list of assigned duties other than teaching.
- c. Complete annual end-of-year departmental evaluations for the past five years or since the last promotion.
- d. Additional evidence of instructional effectiveness if applicable, may be included:
 - 1. A maximum of three peer evaluations of instruction or teaching observation reports completed within the past five years or since the last promotion.
 - 2. A list of awards or other recognitions for teaching effectiveness.
 - 3. Other evidence, as appropriate, including letters from colleagues external to the department.

(10) Curricular Development. A description of in-class assignments and exercises, program, curriculum, or assessment efforts completed during the past five years or since the last promotion.

(11) Administration and Service. A description of administration and service activities during the past five years or since the last promotion.

MS
8-29-18

220 (12) Review Process Materials. A section for the insertion of the assessments
221 and recommendations by the Department Promotion Committee, the
222 Department Chair, the Dean, the University-Wide Promotion Committee,
223 and the Provost and Vice President of Academic Affairs, should be
224 provided.

225
226 (d) Optional Component: Additional information supporting candidacy that may be
227 included.

228
229 (1) Evidence of Professional Development. A statement of efforts taken to
230 develop professionally and remain current in one's field during the past
231 five years or since the last promotion.

232
233 (2) Advising or Mentoring. A description of mentoring and advising
234 activities during the past five years or since the last promotion.

235
236 (3) Scholarly or Creative Work. A description, in discipline specific citation
237 format, of relevant scholarly or creative work presented, published, or
238 performed during the past five years or since the last promotion.

239 (4) Colleagues Letters: All letters received from departmental faculty, which
240 are submitted by the deadline.

241
242 **22.6 Alterations to the Clinical Faculty Promotion Dossier.**

243
244 (a) Once the dossier has been compiled by the candidate and submitted to the department
245 chair, no material shall be removed from the dossier. However, the chair, dean, or
246 Provost shall remove materials proven to be contrary to fact or in violation of this
247 Agreement. This section shall not authorize the removal of materials from the dossier
248 when there is a dispute concerning a matter of judgment or opinion, rather than fact. Any
249 changes to the dossier shall be recorded in the Activity Log.

250
251 (b) Once the dossier has been compiled by the candidate and submitted to the department
252 chair, the candidate may not introduce new information into the dossier but may update
253 information already in the dossier

254
255 (c) No materials shall be added to the dossier after this point without the candidate's
256 consent, except:

257
258 (1) The written assessments and recommendations of faculty committees and
259 administrators who are charged with making recommendations regarding the
260 candidate's application, and the candidate's response to these, if any;

261
262 (2) Clarification, documentation, or validation of assertions made by the candidate
263 in the dossier, when requested in writing by reviewing faculty committees and
264 administrators;

UNF – UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement 2017-2020

M. [Signature]
8-29-18

265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310

(3) Information as specified in Section 22.5 which may have been inadvertently omitted; and

*6/6
7/14
8-29-18*

(4) All timely submitted letters from department faculty. These letters are intended to focus solely on the candidate's teaching, assigned duties, scholarship or service. Only the portions of the letters that focus on the candidate's teaching, assigned duties, scholarship, or service shall be considered in the promotion recommendations and decision.

(d) No candidate shall be required to provide additional information or materials not referenced in Section 22.5, nor shall the candidate be penalized or disadvantaged for refusing to provide such information or materials.

(e) Prior to the consideration of the candidate's dossier and at any point in the review process, the candidate shall have the right to review upon request the contents of the dossier and may attach a brief and concise response to any materials therein.

(f) If any material is added to or changed in the dossier after the commencement of consideration, the date shall be recorded in the Activity Log, and a copy of the log shall be sent to the candidate within five (5) days. The candidate may attach a brief response within five (5) days of his/her receipt of the added or changed material. The dossier shall not be forwarded until either the candidate submits a response or five (5) days have elapsed from the date of receipt of the additional or changed materials by the candidate.

(g) Except by consent of the candidate, there shall be no anonymous material in the dossier except for numerical summaries of student evaluations that are part of the regular evaluation procedure of classroom instruction and/or written comments from students obtained as part of that regular evaluation procedure. All written comments by students in the course must be included.

(h) The contents of the dossier shall only be available for inspection by the candidate, the candidate's representative, University officials who use the information in carrying out their responsibilities, the Department Promotion Committee, and the University-Wide Promotion Committee, which are charged with the responsibility of evaluating the candidate's performance. Faculty members in the candidate's department who may choose to write letters of recommendation and/or who participate in the department vote shall also have access to the dossier. In addition, the candidate may grant access to the dossier to a faculty member from another department from whom he or she has requested a letter of recommendation.

22.7 Promotion Review and Recommendation Procedures

The timeline for these procedures will follow the dates of the promotion calendar published annually by the Office of Academic Affairs.

UNF – UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement 2017-2020

M. [Signature]
8-29-18

311 Recommendations for the awarding of promotion shall include the following levels of review:

312
313 (a) Department Promotion Committee

315 (1) The Department Promotion Committee for the purpose of this article shall
316 include all tenured faculty members in the department at the Associate or
317 Professor level and all Clinical Faculty in the department with a rank above
318 the candidate. The members of the Committee shall be elected by a vote of
319 all permanent faculty members in the department/unit.
320

321 (2) The Department Committee's function shall be to review each candidate's
322 promotion dossier, to provide a separate written assessment of the candidate's
323 qualifications for promotion and to provide a written recommendation to the
324 department chair. This written assessment and recommendation, which shall
325 become a part of the candidate's promotion dossier, shall include a description of
326 the Committee's procedures, a discussion of the candidate's qualifications for
327 promotion with reference to the University promotion criteria and the guidelines
328 for application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, and the result
329 of the vote taken by the Committee. Judgments of academic excellence are
330 complex. They cannot easily be reduced to a quantitative formula, nor can the
331 considerations that must be applied in each individual case be completely
332 described in general terms or by numbers alone, separate from necessary
333 qualitative assessments. The vote shall be conducted in a lawful manner which
334 is intended to assure a free and voluntary exercise of choice. Only Clinical
335 Faculty with a rank above the candidate, and tenured faculty at the Associate or
336 Full Professor level may vote.
337

*6/16
The
8-29-18*

338 (3) The Department Committee shall forward a copy of its assessment and
339 recommendation to the candidate, who shall have five (5) days from receipt to
340 submit a written response. The candidate's response, if any, shall be included in
341 the promotion dossier. The Committee's written assessment and
342 recommendation must address the breadth and depth of the candidate's
343 accomplishments, in teaching, scholarship, and professional service..
344

345 (4) The Department Committee shall not forward the promotion dossier to the
346 department chair until either the candidate submits a response or the five (5) day
347 period for responding expires, whichever occurs first.

348
349 (b) Department Chair

350
351 (1) The department chair shall review all procedural and substantive matters for
352 completeness in order to ensure that the Department Promotion Committee has
353 met its responsibilities.
354

355 (2) After reviewing each candidate's promotion dossier and considering the written

[Handwritten signature]
8-29-18

356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401

assessment and recommendation of the Department Promotion Committee, and the candidate's written response, if any, the department chair shall submit a written assessment of the candidate's qualifications with reference to the University's promotion criteria and the guidelines for application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, and shall make a positive or a negative recommendation. The department chair's written assessment and recommendation shall also report the vote of the faculty.

(4) The department chair shall meet with each candidate to give the candidate a copy of his/her written assessment and recommendation, prior to sending a copy to the Department Promotion Committee chair, to be shared with the other members of the committee. The department chair shall discuss with the candidate both the chair's assessment and recommendation and that of the Department Promotion Committee.

OK
TM
8-29-18

(5) The candidate shall have five (5) days from receipt of the department chair's assessment and recommendation to submit a written response. The candidate's response, if any, shall be included in the promotion dossier.

(6) The department chair shall not forward the promotion dossier to the dean until either the candidate submits a response or the five (5) day period for responding expires, whichever occurs first.

(c) Dean

(1) The dean shall review all procedural and substantive matters for completeness in order to ensure that the Department Promotion Committee and the department chair have met their responsibilities.

(2) If the promotion recommendations of the Department Promotion Committee and the department chair disagree, the dean shall meet jointly with the Department Promotion Committee and the department chair to discuss the differing recommendations. Upon the faculty member's written request, the dean shall also meet with the candidate to discuss the promotion recommendations of the Department Promotion Committee and the department chair and to correct any misunderstanding or misinformation.

(3) After reviewing each candidate's promotion dossier and considering the written assessments and recommendations of the Department Promotion Committee and the department chair, and the candidate's written responses, if any, the dean shall submit a written assessment of the candidate's qualifications for promotion with reference to the University's criteria for promotion and the guidelines for the application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, and make a positive or a negative recommendation. The dean's written assessment and recommendation shall point out if his/her recommendation differs from that of the Department Promotion Committee, the department chair, or both, and shall include confirmation

[Handwritten signature]
8-22-18

402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444

that all procedural and substantive responsibilities of the Committee and the department chair have been met.

(4) The dean shall forward a copy of his/her assessment and recommendation to the candidate, the department chair, and the chair of the Department Promotion Committee to be shared with other members of the Committee. The candidate shall have five (5) days from receipt to submit a written response. The candidate's response, if any, shall be included in the promotion dossier.

[Handwritten signature]
8-22-18

(5) The dean shall not forward the dossier to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs until either the candidate submits a response or the five (5) day period for responding expires, whichever occurs first.

(6) In cases in which the dean's recommendation differs from the recommendation of the Department Promotion Committee, the department chair, or both, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs (the "Provost") shall retain those promotion dossiers and meet jointly with the Committee, the department chair, and the dean. Following this meeting, the Provost shall forward the dossiers to the University-Wide Promotion Committee.

(d) University-Wide Promotion Committee

(1) The University-Wide Promotion Committee shall be constituted as provided in Article 20.7 (d), except that it shall for the purposes of this Article include an additional two at large Clinical Faculty at the Clinical Associate or Clinical Professor level who do not have line authority over promotion decisions and are not personally related to candidates for promotion. The additional members of the Committee shall be elected by a vote of all permanent faculty members of the University. The vote shall be conducted in a lawful manner that is intended to assure a free and voluntary exercise of choice. At least one (1) tenured faculty shall be from each college.² Faculty members serving on the Department Promotion Committee may not serve on the University-Wide Committee. No candidate being considered by the Committee for promotion may serve on the Committee.

(2) The University-Wide Promotion Committee shall have access to the promotion dossier from the Provost once he/she has verified that the Department Promotion Committee, the department chair, and the dean, have fulfilled their procedural and substantive responsibilities.

² Since there are currently insufficient numbers of Clinical Faculty within the University, vacancies in positions on the Committee normally allotted to them shall be filled by tenured faculty until Clinical Faculty are elected to those positions.

UNF – UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement 2017-2020

M. [Signature]
7-29-18

445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490

OK
7/29/18
8-22-18

- (3) The Provost shall meet with the University-Wide Promotion Committee before it begins evaluation of the promotion dossiers in order to give the Committee its charge and to explain and clarify its procedural and substantive responsibilities according to this Agreement. Prior to convening and charging the University-Wide Promotion Committee, the Provost shall meet with the UFF-UNF President to discuss the information the Provost intends to present to the University-Wide Promotion Committee.

- (4) The University-Wide Promotion Committee's function shall be to review each candidate's promotion dossier according to the University's promotion criteria and the guidelines for application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, to provide a separate written assessment of the candidate's qualifications for promotion, and to provide a written recommendation to the Provost. This written assessment and recommendation, which shall become a part of the candidate's promotion dossier, shall include a description of the Committee's procedures, a discussion of the candidate's qualifications for promotion with reference to the University promotion criteria and the guidelines for the application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, and the result of the vote taken by the Committee. The vote shall be conducted in a lawful manner which is intended to assure a free and voluntary exercise of choice.

- (5) The University-Wide Promotion Committee shall provide a copy of its assessment and recommendation to the candidate, who shall have five (5) days from receipt to submit a written response. The candidate's response, if any, shall be included in the promotion dossier.

- (6) The chair of the University-Wide Promotion Committee shall not forward the promotion dossier to the Provost until either the candidate submits a response or the five (5) day period for responding expires, whichever occurs first.

- (7) The University-Wide Promotion Committee shall give an accounting to the faculty at large of the number of positive and negative recommendations for promotion it gave to the Provost. The Committee shall also provide a report to the UFF delineating its positive or negative recommendations for promotion by rank and college. Committee members shall not report to anybody information regarding their deliberations on individual candidates, unless it is required as part of a formal grievance hearing or other administrative or judicial forum.

(e) Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

- (1) After the University-Wide Promotion Committee has submitted its written assessments and recommendations, the Provost shall meet with the Committee to discuss each candidate's promotion dossier.

- (2) After reviewing each candidate's promotion dossier and considering the written assessments and recommendations of the Department Promotion

UNF – UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement 2017-2020

Handwritten signature and date: 8-29-16

491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536

Committee, the department chair, the dean, and the University-Wide Promotion Committee, and the candidate's written responses, if any, the Provost shall submit to the candidate (with a copy to the chair) a draft written assessment of the candidate's qualifications for promotion with reference to the University's criteria for promotion and the guidelines for application of those criteria adopted pursuant to Article 9, and shall make a positive or a negative recommendation. The assessment shall confirm that all procedural and substantive responsibilities have been fulfilled.

(3) Within five (5) days of receipt of the draft written assessment, the candidate may submit a written response or schedule a meeting with the Provost to discuss the candidate's qualifications for promotion, the procedures used in consideration of the candidate's case, and the Provost's recommendation.

(4) The Provost shall forward a copy of his/her final assessment and recommendation to the candidate after the candidate submits a response to the draft written assessment or after the five-day period for responding expires, whichever occurs first. The candidate shall have five (5) days from receipt of the final assessment and recommendation to submit a written response. The candidate's response, if any, shall be included in the promotion dossier.

(5) The Provost shall not forward the promotion dossier to the President until either the candidate submits a response or the five (5) day period for responding expires, whichever occurs first.

(6) The Provost shall also forward his/her final assessment and recommendation to the candidate's dean and department chair, and to the chairs of the University-Wide Promotion Committee and the Department Promotion Committee, who shall share it with other members of their committees.

(7) Following the submission of both the Provost's final assessments and recommendations to the President, the Provost shall make a report to the faculty at large delineating the positive or negative recommendations for promotion in comparison to those forwarded by the University-Wide Promotion Committee and the number of withdrawals from the Instructor/Lecture promotion process. The Provost shall also provide a report to the UFF delineating the positive or negative recommendations for promotion in comparison to those forwarded by the Department Promotion Committee, the Department Chair, the Dean, the University-Wide Promotion Committee, the Provost, and the President, by rank and college, and the number of withdrawals from the promotion process by rank and college.

(f) President

Handwritten note in a circle: 6/16, 7/16, 8-29-16

[Handwritten signature]
8-29-18

537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582

*OK
M
8-29-18*

After reviewing each candidate's dossier and considering the written assessments and recommendations of the Department Promotion Committee, the Chair, the Dean, the University-Wide Promotion Committee, the Provost, and the candidate's written responses, if any, the President shall make a final decision whether to award promotion. The President or designee shall notify the faculty member in writing as soon as possible, but no later than ten (10) days after the date of the decision. A copy of the President's decision shall also be sent to the Provost, the Dean, the Chair, the Chair of the Department Promotion Committee (who shall share it with the other members of the Committee), and the Chair of the University-Wide Promotion Committee (who shall share it with the other members of the Committee).

22.9 Promotion Decision.

- (a) The President shall award promotion. The President or designee shall notify the faculty member in writing of the decision as soon as possible, but no later than ten (10) days after the date of the decision.
- (b) If a faculty member is denied a promotion and makes a written request to the President within twenty (20) days after receipt of notification of denial, the President or designee shall provide the faculty member with a written explanation of the reasons why promotion was not granted. The written explanation shall be provided within twenty (20) days of the faculty member's request.
- (c) Within thirty-five (35) days after the promotion decisions, the dossiers shall be returned to the faculty members. However, if a grievance has been filed, a copy of the promotion dossier shall be provided to the grievant, and the Provost shall retain the original promotion dossier until final disposition of the grievance.
- (d) Allegations of procedural deficiencies shall be subject to the grievance procedure; however, the final decision of the President as to promotion is not subject to an arbitrator's decision.

22.10 Withdrawal From the Process

- (a) A candidate for promotion may withdraw, without prejudice, at any stage in the process before March 15 or before the Provost submits his/her final assessment and recommendation to the President, whichever is later.
- (b) If a candidate withdraws from consideration, the promotion dossier (including all assessments and recommendations) shall be downloaded and returned to the candidate upon request.

22.11 Promotion Salary Increases

UNF – UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement 2017-2020

[Handwritten signature]
8-29-18

583
584
585
586
587
588

The University Administration shall increase the annual base salary rate of each faculty member awarded promotion by twelve and one-half (12.5) percent, effective at the beginning of his/her next respective annual appointment.

589
590

591
592
593

OK
M
8-29-18

ER 08-01-18

594

595

596